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Background

Extensive use of scanner data in Dutch CPI (re-design)

Standard index number theory breaks down for detailed high frequency data

Recent paper by Ivancic, Fox and Diewert (2009)
Features of scanner data

Price and quantity bouncing due to sales

Weekly unit values and quantities; XXX tablets (detergent)
Features of scanner data (2)

Price and quantity bouncing due to sales

Monthly unit values and quantities; XXX tablets (detergent)
High product turnover

Number of matched items; detergents

- # matches (200501, t)
- # matches (t, 200808)
- # matches (t-1, t)
Features of scanner data (4)

Temporarily unavailable items

Monthly unit values; YYY toilet paper
Chain drift

Chain drift occurs if a chained index “does not return to unity when prices in the current period return to their levels in the base period” (CPI manual).

Standard micro-economic theory: given a set of prices, quantities are uniquely determined.
If prices bounce the quantities (hence, expenditures) should also return to their initial levels and superlative price indexes should be drift free.
In practice: ‘distortions’, e.g. due to consumers stocking up during sale periods.

Possible solutions:
- no chaining (lack of matching over time)
- leaving out goods that are on sale (Stats. Norway)
- aggregation over time (reducing ‘noise’)
- other?
Chain drift (2)

Weekly chained price indexes; detergents

[Graph showing weekly chained price indexes for detergents with Törnqvist, Jevons, and Fisher methods]
Chain drift (3)

Monthly chained price indexes; detergents

Quarterly chained price indexes; detergents
(Rolling year) GEKS: IFD method

**GEKS index:** geometric mean of the ratios of all bilateral indexes, computed with the same index number formula, between a number of entities (e.g. countries), where each entity is taken as the base.

**GEKS index is transitive:** same result is obtained if entities are compared with each other directly or via their relationships with other entities.

**IFD:** treat each time period as an entity. GEKS for current period $T$ (with respect to base period $0$):

$$P_{GEKS}^{0T} = \prod_{t=0}^{T} \left( \frac{P_{0t}}{P_{tT}} \right)^{1/(T+1)} = \prod_{t=0}^{T} \left[ P_{0t} \times P_{tT} \right]^{1/(T+1)}$$

$P$ is (superlative) index which satisfies time reversal test.

**Note:** the direct (bilateral) index may be less representative than the indirect indexes but ‘counts twice (for $t=0, T$)
(Rolling year) GEKS: IFD method (2)

GEKS index makes **maximum use of all possible matches** in the data between any two periods and should be **free of (chain) drift**.

Disadvantages:
- lack of transparency
- loss of characteristicity (the period $T$ index depends on the data of all earlier periods)
- revision: when data is added ($T+1, \ldots$), index number for period $T$ usually changes

**Rolling year GEKS**: price and quantity data for the last 13 months; the most recent month-to-month change is chain linked to existing time series.
- no revision
- 13 month moving window is shortest one that allows a comparison of strongly seasonal goods
Monthly rolling year GEKS-Törnqvist, chained Törnqvist and direct Törnqvist price indexes

Detergents

Toilet paper
Monthly rolling year GEKS-Törnqvist, chained Törnqvist and direct Törnqvist price indexes

Diapers

Candy bars

RGEKS  chained  direct
Monthly rolling year GEKS-Törnqvist, chained Törnqvist and direct Törnqvist price indexes

Nuts and peanuts

Beef
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Monthly rolling year GEKS-Törnqvist, chained Törnqvist and direct Törnqvist price indexes

Monthly and quarterly rolling year GEKS-Törnqvist, quarterly chained Törnqvist and quarterly direct Törnqvist price indexes; detergents
Rolling year GEKS-Törnqvist and GEKS-Jevons indexes

Detergents

Toilet paper
Rolling year GEKS-Törnqvist and GEKS-Jevons indexes

Nuts and peanuts

Beef
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Rolling year GEKS-Törnqvist and GEKS-Jevons indexes
Chained Jevons: Dutch method

July 2009: scanner data for a large number of supermarket chains in CPI using a monthly chained Jevons index

Disadvantages:
- unweighted index; relatively unimportant items would have the same impact as more important items
- temporarily missing items would be excluded from the computation
- no explicit quality adjustments

‘Solutions’:
- implicit weighting through cut-off sampling
- imputation of ‘missing prices’, as usual
- computer system allows for making explicit adjustments (e.g. for changes in package size)
Rolling year GEKS-Törnqvist indexes and chained Jevons indexes (imputations and cut-off sampling)
Rolling year GEKS-Törnqvist indexes and chained Jevons indexes (imputations and cut-off sampling)
Rolling year GEKS-Törnqvist indexes and chained Jevons indexes (imputations and cut-off sampling)
Rolling year GEKS-Törnqvist indexes and chained Jevons indexes (imputations and cut-off sampling)
Conclusion and future work

IFD method works as expected; no sign of (chain) drift

Future work: computing rolling year GEKS indexes
- for strongly seasonal items
- at higher aggregation levels
- for weekly and monthly data

Plus: paper on second IFD method - ‘Time-Product-Dummy Approach’
(kind of pseudo hedonic imputation approach)