

*17th Ottawa group meeting  
Rome, 7 – 10 June 2022*

**Title: Seasonal Products and Multilateral Methods**

**Authors: Botir Radjabov and Ken Van Loon**

**Abstract** (maximum length of 400 words)

Which method to prefer for seasonal products remains one of the most difficult choices in price statistics. This research uses seasonal scanner data samples to compare and to discuss traditional bilateral methods and the most common multilateral methods to find out which of these methods could be preferred for seasonal products. This research shows that all traditional bilateral methods have disadvantages and should not be used for seasonal products. It also shows that GEKS method rather than GK or WTPD methods can be considered as a somewhat preferred method for seasonal products if proper GEKS calculations are possible. It shows as well that if proper GEKS calculations are impossible due to no bilateral product matches, ITGEKS method might be seen an alternative to GEKS method. To test the problem of no bilateral product matches for GEKS method, this research proposes several new tests.

**REFERENCES**

Authors, title, year

ABS (2017). Making Greater Use of Transactions Data to Compile the Consumer Price Index. Information paper ABS.

Aizcorbe, A., C. Corrado and M. Doms (2003), When Do Matched-Model and Hedonic Techniques Yield Similar Price Measures? Working Paper no. 2003-14, Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco.

Balk, B., (2001) Aggregation Methods in International Comparisons: What Have We Learned?

Bean, L. H., and Stine, O. C. (1924). Four types of index numbers of farm prices. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 19(145), 30-35.

Boskin, M. J. (1996). Toward a more accurate measure of the cost of living. Advisory Commission to Study the Consumer Price Index.

Chessa, A. (2016) A new methodology for processing scanner data in the Dutch CPI. - Eurostat review of National Accounts and Macroeconomic Indicators, 1/2016, 49-69.

Chessa, A. (2019) A comparison of index extension methods for multilateral methods.

de Haan, J., and Daalmans, J. (2019). Scanner Data in the CPI: The Imputation CCDI Index Revisited.

de Haan, J., and Hendriks, R. (2013, November). Online data, fixed effects and the construction of high-frequency price indexes. In *Economic Measurement Group Workshop* (pp. 28-29).

de Haan, J., and Krsinich, F. (2012). Scanner data and the treatment of quality change in rolling year GEKS price indexes. In EMG Workshop.

de Haan, J. (2015). A framework for large scale use of scanner data in the Dutch CPI. In Report from Ottawa Group 14th meeting, International Working Group on Price Indices, Tokyo (Japan), May, 2015. Ottawa Group.

Diewert, E. (1998). Index number issues in the consumer price index. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 12(1), 47-58.

Diewert, E. (1999). Axiomatic and Economic Approaches to International Comparisons. *International and Interarea Comparisons of Income, Output, and Prices, Studies in Income and Wealth, Vol. 61*, Chicago, University of Chicago Press.

Diewert, E. (2005). Weighted country product dummy variable regressions and index number formulae. *Review of Income and Wealth*, 51(4), 561-570.

Diewert, E. (2014). Recent Developments in Consumer Price Index Theory. Index number theory and measurement economics course material.

Diewert, W. E., and Fox, K. J. (2018). Substitution bias in multilateral methods for CPI construction using scanner data. UNSW Business School Research Paper, (2018-13).

Eltető, O. and P. Köves (1964), On a Problem of Index Number Computation Relating to International Comparisons, *Statisztikai Szemle* 42, 507-518 (in Hungarian).

Feenstra, R. C., and Shapiro, M. D. (2003). High-frequency substitution and the measurement of price indexes. In *Scanner Data and Price Indexes* (pp. 123-150). University of Chicago Press.

Gini, C. (1931). On the circular test of index numbers. *Metron*, 9(9), 3-24.

Greenlees, J., and McClelland, R. (2010). Superlative and regression-based consumer price indexes for apparel using US scanner data. In *Conference of the International Association for Research in Income and Wealth*, St. Gallen, Switzerland.

Hicks, J. R. (1940). The valuation of the social income. *Economica*, 7(26), 105-124.

ILO/IMF/OECD/UNECE/Eurostat/The World Bank (2004), *Consumer Price Index Manual: Theory and Practice*. ILO Publications, Geneva.

ILO/IMF/OECD/UNECE/Eurostat/The World Bank (2020), *Consumer Price Index Manual: Theory and Practice*. ILO Publications, Geneva.

Ivancic, L., Diewert, W. E., and Fox, K. J. (2011). Scanner data, time aggregation and the construction of price indexes. *Journal of Econometrics*, 161(1), 24-35.

Krsinich, F. (2016). The FEWS index: Fixed effects with a window splice.

Lamboray, C. (2017). The Geary Khamis index and the Lehr index: how much do they differ.

Nordhaus, W. D. (1996). Do real-output and real-wage measures capture reality? The history of lighting suggests not. In *The economics of new goods* (pp. 27-70). University of Chicago Press.

Rao, D. P. (2005). On the equivalence of Weighted Country Product Dummy (CPD) method and the Rao-system for multilateral price comparisons. *Review of Income and Wealth*, 51(4), 571-580

Rothwell, D. P. (1958). Use of varying seasonal weights in price index construction. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 53(281), 66-77.

Summers, R. (1973), *International Price Comparisons Based Upon Incomplete Data*,

Szulc, B. (1964), *Indices for Multiregional Comparisons*, *Przegląd Statystyczny* 3, 239-254 (in Polish).

Törnqvist, L. (1936). *The Bank of Finland's consumption price index*.

van der Grient, H. A., and de Haan, J. (2011, March). *Scanner Data Price Indexes: The 'Dutch Method' versus Rolling Year GEKS*". In 12th Meeting of the Ottawa Group, May (pp. 4-6).

Walsh, C. M. (1901). *The measurement of general exchange-value* (Vol. 25). Macmillan.

Walsh, C. M. (1921). *The best form of index number: Discussion*. *Quarterly Publications of the American Statistical Association*, 17(133), 537-544.

Zhang, L. C., Johansen, I., and Nygaard, R. (2018). *Tests for price indices in a dynamic item universe*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.08995.